EPINOVA–2026–PB–76

Policy Brief

If Strikes Resume:

Military Readiness, Regeneration, and Strategic Optionality in the U.S.–Israel–Iran Conflict

Author: Shaoyuan Wu

Affiliation: Global AI Governance and Policy Research Center, EPINOVA LLC

Date: November 2, 2026

Series Information:
EPINOVA Policy Brief Series on Strategic Competition, Geopolitics, and Emerging Security

Recommended Citation:
Wu, S. (2026). *If Strikes Resume: Military Readiness, Regeneration, and Strategic Optionality in the U.S.–Israel–Iran Conflict* (Policy Brief No. EPINOVA–2026–PB–76). Global AI Governance and Policy Research Center, EPINOVA LLC. https://doi.org/10.67037/epinova.pb.2026.076

Disclaimer:
The analysis in this publication is based on publicly available information, official statements, media reporting, and the author's independent analysis. It does not represent the official position of any government. This publication is intended solely for research and policy discussion and does not constitute legal, military, operational, or sanctions compliance advice.

Key Judgments

- **Preparation is not authorization.** The United States and Israel have prepared for possible renewed operations against Iran, but the available evidence does not establish final authorization or a scheduled 4 November strike.
- **Iran retains retaliatory capacity.** Its industrial regeneration and usable missile and drone inventories remain uncertain.
- **Initial strike volume is not a strategic result.** Durable benefits must be assessed against the full strategic costs of renewed fighting.
- **Net strategic conversion and strategic optionality are distinct.** Even favorable net benefits may coexist with depleted or encumbered critical resources that narrow feasible future responses.

Executive Summary

Reporting of U.S.–Israeli contingency planning, military transport arrivals in Israel and naval replenishment indicates that renewed operations against Iran were being prepared as a possible option after the U.S. midterm elections. The White House position reported by ABC concerned U.S. action before the 3 November vote, not an enforceable Israeli undertaking or authorization for an attack on 4 November. Media descriptions of preparations do not establish cargo contents, mission readiness or a final launch order. The central issue is whether any new tactical effects could be sustained without disproportionate replenishment, force-protection and regional-escalation burdens.

The actors face different constraints. U.S. and Israeli offensive options coexist with finite missile-defense replenishment, base protection and cross-theater obligations. Iran retains retaliatory means, but key solid-propellant sites remain damaged in observable imagery and dispersed or less visible production cannot be measured from those images alone. A conditional missile output of 100–300 per month and a separately reported industrial drone capacity of approximately 10,000 per month are neither verified current acceptance rates nor equivalent counts of launch-ready systems.

GLOBAL AI GOVERNANCE RESEARCH CENTER

EPINOVA

Policy Brief

Diplomatic and energy developments complicate a military-only assessment. Iranian representatives reportedly departed Doha on 1 November, while reporting described a circulating draft and the possibility of further meetings. Qatar's mediation, China's separate diplomatic contact with Iran and the China–Oman political statement are distinct developments, not a single negotiating channel. Iraqi industry sources also reported higher crude exports through Turkey's Ceyhan corridor, although the volume and durability of this rerouting remain uncertain.

This brief considers three possible paths: continued armed bargaining, limited renewed strikes and retaliation, and sustained reciprocal attrition. Regional and maritime escalation could accompany any of these paths, adding economic and political costs. The scenarios are illustrative, with no probability ranking or predicted victor; immediate readiness, net strategic value and future strategic options must be assessed separately.

Why This Matters

The prospective decision point combines near-term military availability with commitments that mature on very different timelines. Air and naval preparations may expand the set of feasible operations within days, whereas replacing high-demand interceptors, repairing defended installations and recovering advanced missile-production lines can require months or years. The operational question of whether a strike is possible is therefore distinct from the strategic question of whether its effects can be sustained.

This distinction is amplified by networked regional exposure. Gulf purchases may relieve or enlarge integration obligations; reported crude rerouting through Turkey may partially bypass Hormuz without restoring normal trade; and Qatari mediation, separate Chinese engagement with Iran and the China–Oman joint position can preserve different diplomatic options. These channels can change strategic burdens and feasible choices without increasing immediately available military stocks.

Analytical Scope and Evidence Rules

This Policy Brief compares U.S., Israeli, and Iranian military readiness, force regeneration, and strategic optionality using publicly available information. It distinguishes documented developments from attributed claims and estimates, and uses conditional sensitivity analysis rather than predictions. The data cutoff is 2 November 2026, 07:47 EST, and the prospective assessment covers 4 November–31 December 2026.

1. Strategic Problem: From Initial Strike to Sustainable Power

Strategic preparations are often misread as evidence that war is certain. ABC News and NPR described potential U.S.–Israeli contingency planning, and The Times of Israel reported more than ten U.S. military transport arrivals based on flight analysts. Separately, SBS News relayed a Wall Street Journal account of carrier munitions replenishment. Each item supports a different preparation indicator, but none verifies transport payloads, new stock availability or final political authorization. Resupply can also support already assigned missions (Miller et al., 2026; Sherlock et al., 2026; Fabian, 2026; SBS News, 2026).

Nor do reported movements guarantee forces are operationally on station. Carrier plans and deployment reporting concern different time intervals, while the White House position reported by ABC related specifically to U.S. strikes before the 3 November election. It neither bound Israel as a separate decision-maker nor established a U.S. attack schedule for 4 November (The War Zone, 2026; Miller et al., 2026).

The analytical framework separates three objects. Commitment overhang denotes accumulated obligations; strategic free capacity is the vector of usable resources left after demands, encumbrances and minimum reserves; strategic optionality is the set of future responses that this resource condition makes feasible. First, net strategic conversion compares cumulative benefits with costs and burdens over a stated horizon. Second, capacity accounting tests whether critical resources have become more or less freely usable. Third, optionality tests whether feasible responses to additional contingencies have actually changed. Negative net conversion need not imply reduced optionality, and positive net conversion need not guarantee that a critical capacity bottleneck is absent (Wu, 2026).

2. Three Actors, Unequal Time Horizons

2.1 The United States: broad strike capacity, finite regeneration

The United States has long-range air and naval capabilities, extensive intelligence and logistics networks, and the ability to integrate multiple defensive systems. These give it considerable immediate strike-enabling capacity. However, Congressional Research Service reporting and defense oversight accounts identify costly interceptor expenditure, multiyear replacement timelines for some systems, and industrial bottlenecks. Base-damage reporting further indicates that sustained operations require protection and repair of the architecture supporting strikes—not only aircraft and missiles at the point of attack (Congressional Research Service [CRS], 2026a; Associated Press, 2026a).

The 30 October approval of a potential sale of 500 PAC-3 MSE interceptors to Ukraine is a concrete example of an authorization that might become a cross-theater commitment. The observed step is approval of a proposed sale; the manufacturing slots, signed contract, delivery schedule and any removal from U.S. inventories were not verified at the cutoff. A claim that the sale reduces another mission's feasible options would require evidence of an original allocation and revised delivery/availability dates, plus a recipient-specific mission delayed or abandoned. No such change is identified here (Stone et al., 2026; Wu, 2026).

2.2 Israel: offensive coordination and sustained defensive exposure

Israeli offensive options depend partly on coordination with the United States. Israel's Ministry of Defense separately announced expansion of domestically produced aerial munitions and receipt of a Tamir interceptor made at a U.S. production facility. The first is domestic industrial response; the second is foreign-source delivery. Neither announcement establishes the complete present inventory of Iron Dome, David's Sling, Arrow or other defensive systems, nor the sustained protection available during renewed Iranian retaliation (Israel Ministry of Defense, 2026a, 2026b).

The 27 October Israeli election and subsequent coalition negotiations add a decision constraint rather than proof of action. Axios reporter Barak Ravid attributed different preferences on the length of negotiations to unnamed U.S. and Israeli sources. The reported discussion should be retained as attributed private-source reporting rather than treated as a verified policy split or a decision to strike independently (Lieber, 2026; Ravid, 2026).

2.3 Iran: credible retaliation, uncertain industrial throughput

Iran retains the ability to retaliate and may be rebuilding certain missile-related capabilities. But the evidentiary dispute concerns different objects: FPRI satellite interpretation found serious damage and limited visible recovery at large, surface-observable solid-propellant sites in Parchin, Khojir and Shahroud; Bloomberg reported western officials' views that Tehran had preserved some capacity; and Israeli-source reporting described faster-than-expected restoration. These accounts can coexist if underground, dispersed, assembly and upstream facilities differ. The conditional 100–300 ballistic missiles per month refers to what might be possible IF that manufacturing pace is restored, not to measured current national output (Mills et al., 2026; Doyle et al., 2026; Lair et al., 2026; Bob, 2026).

Iranian research on longer-range ballistic missiles and reportedly Russia-assisted supersonic cruise-missile propulsion adds a potential future adaptation. The September reporting on the C430L program and subsequent Iranian announcements about extending range should be classified as research, technical assertion, or program direction until successful trials, production and unit deployment are independently established. Developmental weapons are therefore excluded from the assessment of combat-ready November inventories (Johnson et al., 2026; Xinhua, 2026; Iran International, 2026).

Table 1. Three-Actor Readiness, Regeneration Evidence, and Decision Gaps

Actor	Currently Usable / Demonstrated	Replenishment or Recovery Evidence	Decision and Information Gaps
United States	Long-range strike and theater logistics; integrated defense infrastructure	Interceptor replenishment and base-repair demands; authorized Ukraine PAC-3 sale does not equal delivery	Launch decision unconfirmed; common production slots and opportunity cost not identified
Israel	Joint strike potential; operating layered defenses	Domestic aerial-munition production initiative; U.S.-manufactured Tamir receipt	Missile-defense stock depths and political timing unknown
Iran	Residual missiles/drones and dispersed assembly / launch options	Visible solid-propellant damage; other production pathways uncertain	Usable launchers, acceptance rates and advanced-system fielding unverified

Note. This is a non-ranked evidence comparison. The columns intentionally separate demonstrated usable capability, replenishment/recovery evidence, and decision or information gaps. A public statement, manufacturing plan, proposed sale or delivered component is not itself a verified combat-ready inventory; unavailable evidence is unknown, not zero.

Sources. Author's comparison using Congressional Research Service (2026a, 2026b); Israel Ministry of Defense (2026a, 2026b); Associated Press (2026a); Bob (2026); Lair et al. (2026); and Stone et al. (2026).

3. Regeneration Versus Expenditure: What the Numbers Permit

JINSA's 7 April compiled briefing reported cumulative regional launches of 2,131 Iranian ballistic missiles, 5,029 drones and 58 cruise missiles since 28 February, as summarized in the 7 April report (the exact cumulative-data cutoff was not independently established). Using approximately 39 days only as a descriptive denominator gives historical averages near 55 ballistic missiles and 129 drones per day. The figures are a reported historical regional aggregate, not an independent event-by-event reconstruction. Neither average is a forward projection; the report does not establish an exact cumulative-series cutoff or verified occurrence times for every launch (Cicurel et al., 2026).

An August Israeli-source report described a conditional production pace of 100–300 ballistic missiles per month if Iranian manufacturing recovered. Separately, Reuters on 4 March attributed an industrial-capacity estimate of approximately 10,000 drones per month to the Centre for Information Resilience (CIR). Neither figure measures current accepted output or available weapons, and the drone categories may not match the launches counted in regional reports. Table 2 therefore compares external capacity estimates with hypothetical usable production levels, without treating them as forecasts or inventories (Bob, 2026; Hirtenstein et al., 2026; Lair et al., 2026).

Table 2. Thirty-Day Iranian Production–Launch Sensitivity: Reference Capacity Versus Assumed Usable Output

System · Assumed Daily Launches	30d Launched	Reported Capacity Reference	Assumed Usable Output	Balance
Missiles · 25/d	750	100–300/mo if restored	0	−750
Missiles · 10/d	300	100–300/mo if restored	100–300	−200 to 0
Missiles · 25/d	750	100–300/mo if restored	100–300	−650 to −450
Missiles · ~55/d	1,650	100–300/mo if restored	100–300	−1,550 to −1,350
Drones · ~129/d	3,870	CIR ≈10,000/mo	3,000 (30%)	−870
Drones · ~129/d	3,870	CIR ≈10,000/mo	3,870 (38.7%)	0
Drones · ~129/d	3,870	CIR ≈10,000/mo	10,000 (100%)	+6,130
Drones · 345/d	10,350	CIR ≈10,000/mo	10,000 (100%)	−350
Drones · 500/d	15,000	CIR ≈10,000/mo	10,000 (100%)	−5,000

Note. Balance equals assumed usable output minus launches over 30 days. CIR's estimate of 10,000 drones per month represents industrial capacity, not verified production of comparable operational systems. At 129 launches per day, break-even is 3,870 usable drones (38.7% of the reference estimate); assumed output of 3,000 produces a deficit of 870. The zero-output missile case represents a hypothetical production disruption. All scenarios exclude additional losses, manufacturing defects and launcher constraints; they are sensitivity tests, not forecasts or inventory estimates.

Sources. Author calculations using JINSA reported launches (Cicurel et al., 2026), conditional missile capacity (Bob, 2026), CIR-attributed drone capacity (Hirtenstein et al., 2026), and FPRI satellite analysis (Lair et al., 2026).

The missile sensitivity at ~55 launches/day illustrates a shortfall of 1,350–1,550 over 30 days if usable output were 100–300. For drones, the highly visible +6,130 result assumes ALL 10,000 units of an unverified model-mix reference become usable for the reported launch class. A 30%-of-reference assumption instead yields −870, and 38.7% is the calculated break-even fraction. Neither case demonstrates Iran's actual supply sufficiency. Opening stocks, acceptance, mix, launcher readiness, strike losses and time-to-delivery remain unidentified.

Production interruptions could widen the missile shortfall. At approximately 55 launches per day, 30 days without new usable output would produce a balance of −1,650; production at a hypothetical 100–300-per-month pace during only the final 15 days would produce −1,600 to −1,500; and continuous production at that pace would produce −1,550 to −1,350. These calculations illustrate the effects of recovery timing rather than a measured production trajectory.

The same discipline must apply to American and Israeli defensive stocks. Costs, appropriations, announced sales, contracts, physical deliveries and ready-to-launch inventories are distinct stages. Without harmonized physical inventories on all sides, a numerical comparison of which party would exhaust its munitions first would convey false precision (Congressional Research Service [CRS], 2026a; Wu, 2026).

4. Regional Feedback: Defense, Diplomacy, and Energy Routes

4.1 Gulf defenses can both share and enlarge commitments

Reporting from the 1 November Manama Dialogue indicates Gulf governments were strengthening defenses while favoring diplomatic de-escalation. UAE adviser Anwar Gargash also acknowledged uneven regional collective-defense coordination. Additional Gulf systems might relieve some U.S. defensive burden only if interoperable and independently usable; procurement may instead add integration, training and protection obligations. Without evidence of effective force integration, neither a net U.S. burden reduction nor uniform Gulf support for new strikes is established (El Deeb, 2026; The National, 2026).

4.2 Diplomatic engagement expands bargaining channels, not yet an agreement

Iranian representatives reportedly departed Doha on 1 November, while Reuters described a circulating draft proposal and the possibility of another meeting. Neither development establishes a completed agreement or continuing in-person negotiations after the delegation's departure. Earlier Iranian statements referred to indirect exchanges through mediators. Qatar's mediation, China's diplomatic contact with Iran and the China–Oman joint statement represent distinct diplomatic channels and positions (Reuters, 2026a; Demir, 2026; Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China, 2026a, 2026b).

4.3 Market relief and physical energy normalization are different

Changes in oil prices do not by themselves establish a recovery in maritime trade. Futures prices, ship passages, crude throughput and inventory movements measure different aspects of disruption. An ADNOC executive estimated that nearly one billion barrels had been drawn from global oil inventories since the disruption began; this is an attributed estimate rather than an independently audited stock series (Reuters, 2026b).

Iraqi oil-industry sources cited by Shafaq News reported that Ceyhan-route crude exports rose from around 350,000 to 500,000 barrels per day, a reported increase of 150,000 bpd. The observation window and sustainability of this flow are not independently established. This is increased corridor throughput rather than newly produced world supply, and the corridor cannot replace normal traffic through Hormuz (Shafaq News, 2026; Al-Samarraie, 2026).

5. Primary Escalation States and the Independent Regional-Maritime Spillover Flag

5.1 Pathway A: Armed bargaining without renewed large-scale strikes

The United States and Israel could continue deployment and military preparations while indirect negotiations proceed. This would preserve immediately usable capabilities and avoid additional launch expenditure, but neither the underlying military threats nor unresolved sanctions, maritime and nuclear disputes would disappear. The decisive evidence would be implemented reciprocal measures and materially improved commercial navigation, not simply optimistic official statements.

5.2 Pathway B: Short strikes and bounded retaliation

A short exchange could produce additional damage to Iranian military or industrial assets, while Iran retains means to retaliate across the regional system. A tactically favorable outcome for one party would not in itself establish that the opponent’s regenerative capacity had been durably reduced or that a stable political settlement followed. The relevant evidence is verified post-strike functional degradation, subsequent attack exposure, civilian harm, and speed of a return to negotiations.

5.3 Pathway C: Multiweek reciprocal attrition

Prolonged strikes and retaliation would give replenishment lead times, launcher availability, maintenance cycles, regional base repair and defensive expenditure increasing importance. Iran’s industrial bottlenecks and economic strain would constrain its operations; the United States and Israel would face competing force-protection and interceptor requirements. Under this pathway, repeated tactical successes could coexist with falling free strategic capacity, although no threshold crossing can be established from present data.

5.4 Regional and maritime spillover

Escalation affecting shipping, energy infrastructure or additional regional facilities could widen the economic and civilian consequences of renewed fighting. Gulf defenses, alternative export routes and external diplomacy may reduce some burdens without eliminating the risks. Physical ship movements, oil throughput and market prices should be assessed separately; isolated incident reports cannot establish the scale or cause of changes in maritime traffic (United Kingdom Maritime Trade Operations, 2026).

These pathways represent alternative developments rather than fixed stages. Continued bargaining may give way to a limited exchange or prolonged attrition, while regional and maritime spillover can accompany any of them. Their consequences depend on political decisions, replenishment capacity, reciprocal action and the availability of diplomatic alternatives.

6. What Would Count as a Strategic Result?

For the United States, a stronger strategic position would require more than confirmed damage: threats should be reduced durably without unmanageable increases in interceptor replacement, theater protection or forced reallocations from other commitments. For Israel, reduced recurrent attack exposure and sustainable civil defense matter alongside short-run offensive effectiveness. For Iran, state and force survival, continued bargaining space and actual industrial regeneration are distinct outcomes; retaining a residual strike option is not proof of restored manufacturing autonomy.

To judge strategic outcomes, a renewed campaign should be compared with continued armed bargaining over the assessment period of 4 November–31 December 2026. The analysis must distinguish any lasting security gains from the replenishment and protection burdens generated, and then ask whether a specific future military or diplomatic option becomes easier or harder to pursue. For example, the proposed sale of 500 PAC-3 MSE interceptors to Ukraine does not by itself demonstrate that another defense mission has been delayed: that conclusion would require evidence of an altered production or delivery schedule and an identifiable displaced commitment. Public evidence does not yet support a numerical estimate of net strategic conversion or changes in feasible options for the three parties (Stone et al., 2026; Wu, 2026).

7. Policy Implications, Responsible Institutions, and Review Triggers

- **For U.S. and allied defense allocators:** upgrade a cross-theater displacement assessment only after a binding contract, revised production slot, physically released inventory or verifiable recipient delivery changes the availability of the same interceptor variant. A proposed procurement alone is not a lost operational option.
- **For air-defense and industrial planners:** re-evaluate expected readiness when verified acceptance, resupply lead times, repair completions or launcher availability change relative to the frozen baseline. Distinguish drone industrial capacity from serviceable, correct-model units reaching launch formations.
- **For Gulf and maritime-security authorities:** reassess claims of shipping normalization using repeated and comparable observations of ship transits, cargo deliveries and insurance conditions, not a single change in futures prices. Distinguish reported maritime incidents from measured changes in regional trade flows.
- **For diplomatic and humanitarian monitors:** record implementation of agreed restrictions, civilian fatalities and injuries (by event time), displacement, infrastructure restoration and aid access. Update risk and cost assessments when observed harm or verified restraint changes, and never count later confirmation of past losses as new-day harm.

8. Limitations

No public evidence provides synchronized combat-ready missile and drone inventories for Iran or verified U.S. and Israeli interceptor stocks. JINSA’s reported historical launch totals lack an independently established exact cumulative cutoff and event-level launch times. Conditional missile and CIR drone-industrial figures do not establish accepted, correct-model output reaching launch formations. The 38.7% drone break-even is a hypothetical class-comparability assumption, not a measured utilization threshold. Zero and partial production are stress tests; neither an endurance estimate nor a forecast winner follows.

Important uncertainties remain about the timing and attribution of some reported developments, the completeness of maritime traffic observations and the durability of Iraq–Turkey oil rerouting. The Axios account relies on anonymous sources; Gulf defensive interoperability and the consequences of future procurement decisions are not fully established. Available evidence does not permit a quantitative estimate of net strategic conversion or changes in the three actors' future option sets.

Conclusion

At the 2 November evidentiary cutoff, the United States and Israel appear prepared to exercise additional military options, while Iran retains significant means of retaliation despite unresolved industrial damage. The most consequential uncertainties are not limited to headline counts of missiles or aircraft. They concern whether the opening strike's effects endure, how quickly critical defensive stocks can be restored, whether regional partners absorb or create further obligations, and whether diplomatic or transport alternatives reduce recurring exposure.

Assessing a renewed campaign requires two distinct judgments: its cumulative marginal strategic conversion and the separate change in free capacity and feasible future choices after the operation and its consequences. A short campaign can succeed tactically without being strategically decisive; a negotiated restraint can matter without resolving every military risk; and a widening conflict can produce systemic burdens not visible in the first day's damage report. The evidence presently supports those conditional mechanisms, not a scheduled war, a winning side, or a quantified overstretch threshold.

References

Al-Samarraie, J. (2026, November 2). Iraqi oil exports via Turkey's Ceyhan port surge to 500,000 BPD. https://www.iraqinews.com/iraq/iraq-crude-oil-exports-turkey-ceyhan-surge-500000-bpd-basra-integration-2026/

Associated Press. (2026, October 31). Iran targeted US air defenses then hit aircraft and buildings on Mideast bases, AP analysis finds. https://apnews.com/article/iran-war-us-base-damage-trump-26e0f05e01d6f56ff46f7c1a14384f7c

Bob, Y. J. (2026, August 13). Israeli officials stunned by Iran's rapid military recovery after 2026 war—exclusive. The Jerusalem Post. https://www.jpost.com/israel-news/defense-news/article-905474

Cicurel, A., Tobin, Y., Brody, J., Havdala, S., & Gabber, R. (2026, April 7). Operations Epic Fury and Roaring Lion: 4/7/26 update. Jewish Institute for National Security of America. https://jinsa.org/wp-content/uploads/2026/04/Operations-Epic-Fury-and-Roaring-Lion-04-07-26.pdf

Congressional Research Service. (2026a, October 5). U.S. military operations against Iran: Munitions and missile defense (IN12668). https://www.everycrsreport.com/reports/IN12668.html

Congressional Research Service. (2026b, October 20). U.S. military operations against Iran's missile and nuclear programs (IN12665). https://www.everycrsreport.com/files/2026-10-20_IN12665_78c0865a91e52bb5d2ec9e318c4650b9b822711a.html

Demir, S. (2026, October 12). Iran says reviewing US proposals for talks as indirect messages continue. Anadolu Agency. https://m.aa.com.tr/en/middle-east/iran-says-reviewing-us-proposals-for-talks-as-indirect-messages-continue/4085816

Doyle, G., Nardelli, A., & Wickham, A. (2026, October 9). Iran protects capacity to build missiles as Trump pauses strikes. Bloomberg. https://www.spokesman.com/stories/2026/oct/09/iran-protects-capacity-to-build-missiles-as-trump-/

El Deeb, S. (2026, November 1). United Arab Emirates says military solutions offer no quick off-ramps to crisis with Iran. Associated Press. https://apnews.com/article/470d52d5df78eeb6bf9b9fb15a37d0e6

Fabian, E. (2026, October 29). Over 10 US military transports landed at Israeli airbases over past week, analysts say. The Times of Israel. https://www.timesofisrael.com/liveblog_entry/over-10-us-military-transports-landed-at-israeli-airbases-over-past-week-analysts-say/

Hirtenstein, A., Mills, A., & Saul, J. (2026, March 4). Iran could disrupt the Strait of Hormuz with drones for months. Reuters. https://www.reuters.com/business/aerospace-defense/iran-could-disrupt-strait-hormuz-with-drones-months-2026-03-04/

Iran International. (2026, October 4). Iran's army says it is working to extend missile range. https://www.iranintl.com/en/202610043703

Israel Ministry of Defense. (2026a, March 9). Israel MOD accelerates production of aerial munitions to support the ongoing campaign in Iran. https://mod.gov.il/en/press-releases/press-room/israel-mod-accelerates-production-of-aerial-munitions-to-support-the-ongoing-campaign-in-iran

Israel Ministry of Defense. (2026b, October 8). First Iron Dome Tamir interceptor fully produced in the U.S. delivered to Israel. https://www.mod.gov.il/en/press-releases/press-room/first-iron-dome-tamir-interceptor-fully-produced-in-the-us-delivered-to-israel

Johnson, M., Seddon, M., & Clover, C. (2026, September 2). Russia secretly helping Iran develop supersonic cruise missiles. Financial Times. https://www.ft.com/content/6cf367bc-95b0-4f1f-b149-a25684adefc3

Lair, S., Hosford, S., Duitsman, M., & Ford, J. (2026, October 14). Iranian missile production infrastructure after Epic Fury. Foreign Policy Research Institute. https://www.fpri.org/article/2026/10/iranian-missile-production-infrastructure-after-epic-fury/

Lieber, D. (2026, October 29). No clear winner in Israeli election as negotiations to follow in order to form government. CBS News. https://www.cbsnews.com/news/israel-election-exit-polls-stalemate/

Miller, J., Rivers, M., & Faulders, K. (2026, October 22). U.S. and Israel drawing up plans to resume attacks on Iran post-election, sources say. ABC News. https://abcnews.com/Politics/us-israel-drawing-plans-resume-attacks-iran-post/story?id=137479897

Mills, A., Ghania, Y., & Alashray, E. (2026, October 31). Iranian envoys meet Qatari mediators as threat looms of US strikes after midterms. Reuters. https://www.reuters.com/world/middle-east/iranian-envoys-meet-qatari-mediators-threat-looms-us-strikes-after-midterms-2026-10-31/

Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China. (2026a, November 2). Joint statement on deepening the China–Oman strategic partnership. https://www.fmprc.gov.cn/zyxw/202611/t20261102_12051564.shtml

Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China. (2026b, November 2). Wang Yi meets with Ali Bagheri, deputy secretary of Iran's Supreme National Security Council. https://www.fmprc.gov.cn/web/wjdt_674879/gjldrhd_674881/202611/t20261102_12051622.shtml

Ravid, B. (2026, November 1). Trump has frank call with Netanyahu about next move in Iran war. Axios. https://www.axios.com/2026/11/01/trump-netanyahu-iran-negotiations-war

Reuters. (2026a, November 2). Iranians have left Doha talks, draft proposal for US deal being circulated, official says. https://www.reuters.com/world/middle-east/iranians-have-left-doha-talks-draft-proposal-us-deal-being-circulated-official-2026-11-02/

Reuters. (2026b, November 2). World's energy supply buffers have been eroded, ADNOC CEO says. https://www.reuters.com/business/energy/nearly-1-billion-oil-barrels-drained-global-inventories-since-hormuz-disruption-2026-11-02/

SBS News. (2026, October 25). U.S. aircraft carrier reloads bunker busters amid signs of potential re-strikes on Iran: WSJ. https://news.sbs.co.kr/english/article.do?news_id=N1008813877

Shafaq News. (2026, November 1). Iraq's oil exports via Turkiye reach 500,000 bpd. https://www.shafaq.com/en/Economy/Iraq-s-oil-exports-via-Turkiye-reach-500-000-bpd

Sherlock, R., Estrin, D., & Al-Shalchi, H. (2026, October 22). U.S. and Israel are planning for a possible attack on Iran, source tells NPR. https://www.mainepublic.org/npr-news/2026-10-22/u-s-and-israel-are-planning-for-a-possible-attack-on-iran-source-tells-npr

Stone, M., Shalal, A., & Balmforth, T. (2026, October 30). U.S. approves $2.1 billion Patriot missile sale to Ukraine. Reuters. https://www.reuters.com/business/aerospace-defense/us-approves-21-billion-patriot-missile-sale-ukraine-2026-10-30/

The National. (2026, November 1). UAE does not want new round of conflict but is braced for worst, says Dr Gargash. https://www.thenationalnews.com/news/gulf/2026/11/01/uae-does-not-want-new-round-of-conflict-but-is-braced-for-worst-says-dr-gargash/

The War Zone. (2026, October 27). Where are the aircraft carriers? October 27, 2026. https://www.twz.com/sea/where-are-the-aircraft-carriers-october-27-2026

United Kingdom Maritime Trade Operations. (2026). Recent maritime security incidents and advisories. https://www.ukmto.org/recent-incidents

Wu, S. (2026, September 21). The limits of strategic reach: Commitment overhang, power conversion, and the erosion of strategic optionality (EPINOVA Working Paper No. EPINOVA–WP–F–2026–13). EPINOVA LLC. https://doi.org/10.67037/epinova.wp.f.2026.013

Xinhua. (2026, September 23). IRGC says Iran has technological infrastructure to make missiles with far longer ranges. https://english.news.cn/20260923/a1b39a60bb7946c384f841980bc18f79/c.html